AE 451 Aeronautical Engineering Design I Aerodynamics

# Prof. Dr. Serkan Özgen Dept. Aerospace Engineering December 2017



### Lift curve



Fig. 12.4 Wing lift curve.

### Lift curve slope



Fig. 12.5 Lift curve slope vs Mach number.

### Subsonic lift curve slope

$$
C_{L_{\alpha}} = \frac{2\pi AR}{2 + \sqrt{4 + \frac{AR^2 \beta^2}{\eta^2} \left(1 + \frac{tan^2 \Lambda_{max,t}}{\beta^2}\right)}} \frac{S_{exposed}}{S}F
$$

Valid until  $M_{dd}$ , fairly accurate until  $M=1$ .  $\beta^2 = 1 - M^2$ 

 $\eta$ : airfoil efficiency, = 0.95 for most airfoils.

 $F = 1.07(1 + d/b)^2$ , fuselage lift factor.

- $AR_{eff} = AR(1 + 1.9 h/b)$ ; **effective AR with endplates**, h: height of the endplate.
- $AR_{eff} \cong 1.2AR$ ; effective AR with winglets. LE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

### Supersonic lift curve slope

• Theory: 
$$
C_{L_{\alpha}} = \frac{4}{\beta}
$$

- Practice: use the charts valid for trapezoidal wings.
- Correct the values read with  $\frac{S_{exposed}}{S_{exposed}}$  $\mathcal{S}_{0}^{(n)}$  $\overline{F}$

#### Supersonic lift curve slope





MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

• For **moderate to high aspect ratio wings** with moderate sweep and high leading edge radius:

 $C_{L,max} = 0.9c_{L,max}cos\Lambda_{c/4}$ 

• If a wing has **low AR or high sweep** and a sharp leading edge, maximum lift will increase due to leading edge vortices. This is a function of the shape of the upper surface of the leading edge:

 $\Delta y = y_{0.06c} - y_{0.015c}$ 



Fig. 12.7 Airfoil leading edge sharpness parameter.

#### Table 12.1  $\Delta y$  for common airfoils



• For high aspect ratio wings:

$$
C_{L,max} = c_{l,max} \left( \frac{C_{L,max}}{c_{l,max}} \right) + \Delta C_{L,max},
$$
  
Correct for  $F$ ,  $\frac{S_{exposed}}{S}$ .  

$$
\alpha_{C_{L,max}} = \frac{C_{L,max}}{C_{L,\alpha}} + \alpha_{0L} + \Delta \alpha_{C_{L,max}}
$$



Fig. 12.8 Subsonic maximum lift of high-aspect-ratio wings (Ref. 37).



Fig. 12.9 Mach-number correction for subsonic maximum lift of high-aspect ratio wings (Ref. 37).



Fig. 12.10 Angle-of-attack increment for subsonic maximum lift of high-aspectratio wings (Ref. 37).

• A wing has **low AR** if:

$$
AR \le \frac{3}{(C_1+1)\cos \Lambda_{LE}}
$$
  

$$
C_{L,max} = C_{L,max, base} + \Delta C_{L,max}
$$
  

$$
\alpha_{C_{L,max}} = \alpha_{C_{L,max, base}} + \Delta \alpha_{C_{L,max}}
$$



Fig. 12.11 Taper-ratio correction factors for low-aspect-ratio wings (Ref. 37).



Fig. 12.12 Maximum subsonic lift of low-aspect-ratio wings (Ref. 37).



Fig. 12.13 Maximum-lift increment for low-aspect-ratio wings (Ref. 37).



**Fig. 12.15** Angle of attack for subsonic maximum lift of low-aspect-ratio wings (Ref. 37).



Fig. 12.16 Angle-of-attack increment for subsonic maximum lift of low-aspectratio wings (Ref. 37).

• At transonic speeds, maximum lift is limited by **structural buffeting and controllability** considerations rather than aerodynamics.



Fig. 12.14 Maximum lift adjustment at higher Mach numbers.





Fig. 12.18 Leading-edge devices.



Fig. 12.19 Effects of high-lift devices.

- **Trailing edge devices decrease the stall angle of attack** by increasing the pressure drop over the top of the airfoil promoting flow separation.
- In order to increase  $\alpha_{\text{stall}}$  a **leading edge device** must be used.

$$
\Delta C_{L,max} = 0.9 \Delta c_{L,max} \frac{S_{flapped}}{S} cos \Lambda_{HL}
$$

$$
\Delta \alpha_{0L} = \Delta \alpha_{0L,airfoil} \frac{S_{flapped}}{S} cos \Lambda_{HL}
$$

HL: hinge line of the high lift device

- For takeoff, the increments of about 60-80% of the increment calculated above should be used.
- Maximum lift occurs at a flap setting of about 40°-45°.

 $\Delta_{\alpha_{0L,airfoil}} \cong -15^o$  (landing setting),  $\Delta_{\alpha_{0L,airfoil}} \cong -10^o$  (takeoff setting),



#### Table 12.2 Approximate lift contributions of high-lift devices



**Fig. 12.20** "Flapped" wing area.



- **Leading edge devices** increase lift by:
	- Increasing camber,
	- Increasing wing area,
	- Delaying separation.
- Leading edge devices are particularly useful at **high α**.
- During takeoff and landing, they are useful when in combination with trailing edge devices as they prevent stall.

## Estimation of C<sub>Do</sub>, equivalent skin friction method

$$
C_{Do} = \frac{S_{wet}}{S} C_{fe}
$$

• *Cfe:* equivalent skin friction coefficient is a function of the **Reynolds number**, *Re.*



Figure 2.55 Equilvalent skin-friction drag for a variety of airplanes. (After Jobe, Ref. 27.)

### Equivalent skin friction coefficients





#### Wetted area ratio



Fig. 3.5 Wetted area ratios.

### Estimation of  $C_{Do}$ , component build-up method

• Total parasite drag coefficient:

$$
C_{Do}^{\text{}}\text{}}_{\text{subsonic}} = \frac{\sum C_{fc} F F_c Q_c S_{wet,c}}{S} + C_{D,\text{mixc}} + C_{D,\text{L\&P}}
$$

 $C_{fc}$ : flat plate skin friction coefficient,

 $C_{fc} = C_{fc}(Re, M, k); k$ : skin roughness.

 $FF_c$ : form factor, estimates pressure drag due to separation,

#### Q: interference factor.

 $C_{D,misc}$ : drag of flaps, landing gears, upswept aft fuselage, base area.

 $C_{D,L8P}$ : drag of leakages and protuberances.

- Laminar flow:  $\mathcal{C}_f = 1.328/\sqrt{Re}$ ,  $Re =$  $\rho_\infty V_\infty l$  $\mu_{\infty}$ , : characteristic length.
- Turbulent flow:

$$
C_f = \frac{0.455}{(\log Re)^{2.58}(1 + 0.144M^2)^{0.65}}
$$



Fig. 12.21 Flat plate skin friction coefficient vs Reynolds number.

- If the surface is **rough**, the skin friction coefficient will be higher.
- The **smaller** of the cut-off Reynolds number and the actual Reynolds number shall be used.
- Subsonic flow:

$$
Re_{cutoff} = 38.21 (l/k)^{1.053}
$$
,

• Transonic or Supersonic flow:

$$
Re_{cutoff} = 44.62 (l/k)^{1.053} M^{1.16}
$$

Surface	k(f(t))	k(m)
Camouflage paint on aluminum	$3.33 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.015 \times 10^{-5}$
Smooth paint	$2.08 \times 10^{-5}$	$0.634 \times 10^{-5}$
Production sheet metal	$1.33 \times 10^{-5}$	$0.405 \times 10^{-5}$
Polished sheet metal	$0.50 \times 10^{-5}$	$0.152 \times 10^{-5}$
Smooth molded composite	$0.17 \times 10^{-5}$	$0.052 \times 10^{-5}$

Table 12.4 Skin roughness value  $(k)$ 

• Wing, tail, strut and pylon:

$$
FF = \left[1 + \frac{0.6}{(x/c)_m} \left(\frac{t}{c}\right) + 100\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)^4\right] \left[1.34 M^{0.18} (\cos \Lambda_m)^{0.28}\right]
$$

 $(x/c)<sub>m</sub>$ : chordwise location of the maximum thickness point,

 $\Lambda_m$ : sweep angle at the same location

• Fuselage and smooth canopy:

$$
FF = \left(1 + \frac{60}{f^3} + \frac{f}{400}\right)
$$

$$
f = \frac{l}{d} = \frac{l}{\sqrt{(4/\pi)A_{max}}}.
$$
 fineness ratio.

• Nacelle and external stores:

$$
FF = 1 + \frac{0.35}{f}
$$

- For a tail surface with a hinged control surface: +10%
- A square sided fuselage: +40%
- For a two piece canopy: +40%
- For an external boundary-layer diverter for a fuselage mounted inlet:
	- Double wedge:  $FF = 1 + d/l$ ,
	- Single wedge:  $FF = 1 + 2d/l$ .





#### Component interference factors

- Nacelle or external store mounted on wing or fuselage: Q=1.5.
- Nacelle or external store mounted on wing or fuselage: Q=1.3 (if mounted less than one diameter away).
- Nacelle or external store mounted on wing or fuselage: Q=1.1 (if mounted more than one diameter away).
- Wingtip mounted missiles: Q=1.25.
- High-wing, mid-wing or a well-filleted low-wing: Q=1.0.
- Unfilleted low-wing: Q=1.1-1.4.
- Fuselage: Q=1.0.
- Tail surfaces: Q=1.03 (V-tail), 1.08 (H-Tail), 1.04-1.05 (conventional tail).

DLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

• Upswept aft fuselage:

$$
\frac{D}{q} = 3.83\theta^{2.5} A_{max}.
$$



Fig. 12.26 Fuselage upsweep.

- Landing gear: summation of the drags of the wheels, struts, and other gear components.
- Q=1.2, \*1.07 for retractable landing gears accounting for the hollow landing gear well.

	D/q	
	Frontal area	
Regular wheel and tire	0.25	
Second wheel and tire in tandem	0.15	
Streamlined wheel and tire	0.18	
Wheel and tire with fairing	0.13	
Streamline strut $(1/6 < t/c < 1/3)$	0.05	
Round strut or wire	0.30	
Flat spring gear leg	1.40	
Fork, bogey, irregular fitting	$1.0 - 1.4$	

Table 12.5 Landing gear component drags

• Flaps:

$$
\Delta C_{Do,flap} = F_{flap} \left( \frac{c_{flap}}{c} \right) \frac{S_{flapped}}{S} \left( \delta_{flap} - 10^o \right).
$$

$$
F_{flap} = 0.0144
$$
: plain flaps,

$$
F_{flap} = 0.0074
$$
: slotted flaps.

• Speed brakes:

Fuselage mounted: 
$$
\frac{D}{q} = 1.0A_{frontal}
$$
  
Wing mounted:  $\frac{D}{q} = 1.6A_{frontal}$ 

• Canopies (transport and light aircraft):

$$
\frac{D}{q} = 0.50 A_{frontal,wind\, shield}
$$

• Cannon port:

$$
\frac{D}{q} = 0.2 \text{ ft}^2.
$$

#### Leakage and protuberance drag

- Antennas, lights, door edges, fuel vents, control surface external hinges, actuator fairings, rivets, rough or misaligned panels…
- Jet transports and bombers: 2-5% parasite drag,
- Propeller aircraft: 5-10%,
- Fighters: 10-15% (old), 5-10% (new).

#### Supersonic Wave Drag

• For supersonic skin friction drag  $Q = FF = 1$ .

$$
C_{D0})_{s.sonic} = \frac{\sum C_{fc} S_{wet}}{S} + C_{D,miss} + C_{D,L\&P} + C_{D,wave}
$$

- Leakage and protuberance drag percentages apply only to skin-friction drag.
- For preliminary wave drag analysis ( $M \geq 1.2$ ):

$$
\frac{D}{q}\bigg)_{wave} = E_{wd} \left[ 1 - 0.386(M - 1.2)^{0.57} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi \Lambda_{LE, deg}^{0.77}}{100} \right) \right] \frac{D}{q} \bigg)_{\text{Sears-Haack}}
$$

#### Sears-Haack body





#### Supersonic wave drag

•  $E_{wd}$ : wave drag efficiency factor.

=1.0 for a perfect Sears-Haack body,

=1.2 for a smooth volume distribution, blended delta wing,

=1.8-2.2 for a supersonic fighter, bomber.

• 
$$
\frac{D}{q}
$$
  $\int_{Sears-Haack} = \frac{9\pi}{2} \left(\frac{A_{max}}{l}\right)^2$ ; subtract inlet capture area.

 $l$ : aircraft length – length with constant cross sectional area.

• Boeing formulation:

$$
M_{DD} = M_{DD,L=0} L F_{DD} - 0.05 C_{L, design} (wing).
$$



Fig. 12.28 Wing drag-divergence Mach number.



Fig.  $12.29$  Lift adjustment for  $M_{DD}$ .





Fig. 12.30 Body drag-divergent Mach number.

 $L_n$ : length of fuselage from nose to the location where fuselage cross section becomes constant.

 $d$ : equivalent diameter of the fuselage there.

Choose the **smaller** of the  $M_{dd}$  found for wing and fuselage for the drag divergence Mach number of the airplane.



For initial analysis:



Fig. 12.31 Transonic drag rise estimation.

- $M \geq 1.2$ : use supersonic wave drag expression.
- $C_{D-wave}(M = 1.05) = C_{D-wave}(M = 1.2)$ .

• 
$$
C_{D,wave}(M = 1.0) = \frac{C_{D,wave}(M=1.05)}{2}
$$
.

• 
$$
M_{cr} = M_{DD} - 0.08
$$
.

•  $C_D(M_{DD}) = C_D(M_{cr}) + 0.002$ .

#### Complete drag build-up

- **Subsonic drag:** skin friction drag (including form factor and interference) + miscellaneous drag + leakage & protuberance drag
- **Supersonic drag:** skin friction drag + miscellaneous drag + leakage and protuberance drag + wave drag.

#### Complete drag build-up



Fig. 12.32 Complete parasite drag vs Mach number.

#### Complete drag build-up



Fig. 12.33 Parasite drag and drag rise.

#### Drag due to lift (induced drag)

• Induced drag coefficient:

$$
K = \frac{1}{\pi A Re}
$$

• Straight-winged airplane:

$$
e = 1.78(1 - 0.045AR^{0.68}) - 0.64 \left(\Lambda_{LE} < 30^{\circ}\right)
$$

• Swept winged airplane:

 $e = 4.61(1 - 0.045AR^{0.68})\cos\Lambda_{LE}^{0.15} - 3.1\left(\Lambda_{LE} > 30^{\circ}\right)$ 

• At supersonic speeds:

$$
K = \frac{AR(M^2 - 1)}{4AR\sqrt{M^2 - 1} - 2}\cos\Lambda_{LE}
$$

#### Drag due to lift (induced drag)

• Flap effect on induced drag:

$$
\Delta C_{Di} = K_f^2 (\Delta C_{L,flap})^2 \cos \Lambda_{\bar{c}/4},
$$
  
\n
$$
K_f = 0.14
$$
: full span flaps,  
\n
$$
K_f = 0.28
$$
: partial span flaps.